COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1029-01 Bill No.: HB 365

<u>Subject</u>: Funerals and Funeral Directors; Crimes and Punishment

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 3, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies funeral protest provisions.

FISCAL SUMMARY

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated | | | | |
| Net Effect on General Revenue | | | | |
| Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1029-01 Bill No. HB 365 Page 2 of 5 February 3, 2011

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated | | | | |
| Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

| ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| FUND AFFECTED | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | |
| Local Government | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |

L.R. No. 1029-01 Bill No. HB 365 Page 3 of 5 February 3, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a similar proposal from this year (SB 91), officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Veterans' Commission** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (HB 274), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Department of Public Safety - Highway Patrol** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons faced with the penalties for funeral demonstrations - a redefined Class B Misdemeanor.

Passage of bills increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, requires the State Public Defender System to further extend resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation is all its cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

In response to a similar proposal from this year (SB 91), officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** stated currently, they cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1029-01 Bill No. HB 365 Page 4 of 5 February 3, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY10 average of \$3.92 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,431 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

| FISCAL IMPACT - State Government | FY 2012 (10 Mo.) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| | | | |
| FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government | FY 2012 (10 Mo.) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 |
| | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

RS:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 1029-01 Bill No. HB 365 Page 5 of 5 February 3, 2011

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the Attorney General Department of Public Safety Department of Corrections Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 3, 2011